

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

20 January 2016

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Not peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Osman, A. S. (2016) 'Discussion of "Undrained stability of dual circular tunnels" by Daniel W. Wilson, Andrew J. Abbo, Scott W. Sloan, and Andrei V. Lyamin.', International journal of geomechanics., 16 (5). p. 1.

Further information on publisher's website:

[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GM.1943-5622.0000512](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000512)

Publisher's copyright statement:

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

**Discussion of "Undrained Stability of Dual Circular Tunnels" By Daniel Wilson,
Andrew Abbo, Scott Sloan, and Andrei Lyamin,**

February, 2014, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 69-79, DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000288

Ashraf S Osman¹

¹ Lecturer, School of Engineering and Computing Sciences, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK, Email: ashraf.osman@durham.ac.uk

The discussor would like to thank the authors for the praiseworthy remarks on the upper bound (UB) solution of Osman (2010). However, the results shown in Figure 16 for $\gamma D/c_u=0$ and attributed to Osman (2010) are incorrect and inconsistent with Osman (2010). However, the results for $\gamma D/c_u=3$ seems to be correct (with a margin of about 1%). Comparison between the authors' results and the upper bound solution of Osman (2010) is shown in the table and the figure below. These calculations are carried out using MATLAB. The code can be found at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0886779810000052>.

Indeed, the authors' solution gives better upper bound solution. However, the differences between the two solutions for $\gamma D/c_u=0$ are much narrower than what shown in Figure 16. For example for $\gamma D/c_u=0$ and $C/D=8$, the $(\sigma_s - \sigma_t)/c_u$ value attributed to Osman (2010) is 5.33 (estimated from the graph) while the correct value is 4.24 and for $C/D=1.2$, a value of 4.06 is shown while the correct value is 3.42. It seems that the two dash-dot-dot lines in Figure 16 have almost the same shape with a shift of 10.5 in the corresponding y-coordinates.

References

Osman, A. S. (2010). "Stability of unlined twin tunnels in undrained clay." *Tunnelling Underground Space Technol.*, 25(3), 290–296.

S/D	$\gamma D/c_u = 0$			$\gamma D/c_u = 3$		
	UB FEM	UB Block	UB Osman (2010)	UB FEM	UB Block	UB Osman (2010)
1.2	3.17	3.04	3.4194	-6.69	-6.66	-6.4275
1.4	3.13	3.01	3.3411	-6.75	-6.75	-6.4639
1.6	3.11	3.01	3.3217	-6.83	-6.81	-6.4428
1.8	3.10	3.02	3.3539	-6.86	-6.85	-6.4159
2	3.11	3.05	3.4218	-6.89	-6.88	-6.352
2.2	3.13	3.09	3.4934	-6.91	-6.89	-6.2814
2.4	3.15	3.14	3.569	-6.93	-6.89	-6.2313
2.6	3.18	3.20	3.6439	-6.93	-6.88	-6.1644
2.8	3.22	3.26	3.7277	-6.93	-6.86	-6.0946
3	3.25	3.33	3.7991	-6.93	-6.83	-5.9751
3.2	3.29	3.4	3.8748	-6.91	-6.80	-5.9004
3.4	3.33	3.48	3.9533	-6.90	-6.76	-5.8194
3.6	3.37	3.56	4.034	-6.88	-6.71	-5.7364
3.8	3.41	3.64	4.1144	-6.87	-6.66	-5.7437
4	3.45	3.72	4.1905	-6.84	-6.61	-5.6767
4.2	3.49	3.80	4.2602	-6.86	-6.56	-5.5019
4.4	3.54	3.88	4.3225	-6.79	-6.50	-5.4359
4.6	3.58	3.96	4.3775	-6.76	-6.44	-5.3785
4.8	3.62	4.04	4.4254	-6.74	-6.37	-5.3715
5	3.66	4.13	4.4647	-6.70	-6.31	-5.325
5.5	3.76	4.32	4.5435	-6.64	-6.14	-5.2365
6	3.87	4.50	4.5735	-6.61	-5.97	-5.1742
6.5	3.97	4.50	4.5909	-6.48	-5.80	-5.1567
7	4.06	4.50	4.5971	-6.44	-5.63	-5.155
7.5	4.16	4.50	4.5991	-6.33	-5.63	-5.1507
8	4.24	4.50	4.5993	-6.25	-5.63	-5.1496

Table 1 Upper bound solutions for dual circular tunnels with H/D=3

List of Figures

Fig. 1 Comparison of upper bound solutions for $H/D=3$